

## A PROJECTION-PROPERTY FOR ABSTRACT RATIONAL (1-POINT) APPROXIMANTS

ANNIE A. M. CUYT

### 1. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Consider the operator  $F: X \rightarrow Y$ , analytic in 0 [2, pp. 113] where  $X \supsetneq \{0\}$  is a Banach space and  $Y \supsetneq \{0, I\}$  is a commutative Banach algebra without nilpotent elements (0 is the unit for addition and  $I$  is the unit for multiplication). The scalar field is  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ .

A nonlinear operator  $P: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $P(x) = A_n x^n + \dots + A_0$  with  $A_i: X^i \rightarrow Y$  a symmetric and bounded  $i$ -linear operator ( $i = 0, \dots, n$ ) is called an abstract polynomial [2, pp. 111]. The degree of  $P(x)$  is  $n$ . The notation for the exact degree of  $P(x)$  is  $\partial P$  (the largest integer  $k$  with  $A_k x^k \neq 0$ ) and the notation for the order of  $P(x)$  is  $\partial_0 P$  (the smallest integer  $k$  with  $A_k x^k \neq 0$ ).

Write  $D(F) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \text{ is regular in } Y, \text{ i.e. there exists } y \in Y: F(x) \cdot y = I\}$ . Since  $F$  is analytic in 0, there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} F^{(k)}(0)x^k \quad \text{for } \|x\| < r.$$

We say that  $F(x) = O(x^j)$  for  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  if there exist  $J \in \mathbf{R}_0^+$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that

$$\|F(x)\| \leq J \|x\|^j.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. The couple of abstract polynomials

$$(P(x), Q(x)) = (A_{nm+n}x^{nm+n} + \dots + A_{nm}x^{nm}, B_{nm+m}x^{nm+m} + \dots + B_{nm}x^{nm})$$

is called a *solution of the Padé approximation problem of order  $(n, m)$  for  $F$*  if the abstract power series

$$(F \cdot Q - P)(x) = O(x^{nm+n+m+1}).$$

We define the operator  $\frac{1}{Q}: D(Q) \rightarrow Y$  by  $\frac{1}{Q}(x) = [Q(x)]^{-1}$  the inverse element of  $Q(x)$  for the multiplication in  $Y$ . We call the abstract rational operator  $\frac{1}{Q} \cdot P$ , the quotient of two abstract polynomials, reducible if there exist abstract polynomials  $T, R$  and  $S$  such that  $P = T \cdot R$ ,  $Q = T \cdot S$  and  $\hat{c}T \geq 1$ .

Let us assume that the Banach space  $X$  and the Banach algebra  $Y$  are such that the irreducible form of an abstract rational operator is unique and that the abstract rational approximant of order  $(n, m)$  for  $F$  (see Definition 1.2) is unique. The matter was discussed in [1].

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $(P, Q)$  be a couple of abstract polynomials satisfying Definition 1.1, with  $D(P) \cup D(Q) \neq \emptyset$ . The irreducible form  $\frac{1}{Q_*} \cdot P_*$  of  $\frac{1}{Q} \cdot P$  is called the *abstract rational approximant of order  $(n, m)$  for  $F$*  (abbreviated  $(n, m)$ -ARA).

To prove our projection-property we shall need the condition numbered (1). Let  $T(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\partial T} T_k x^k$  be the abstract polynomial such that  $P = P_* \cdot T$  and  $Q = Q_* \cdot T$ . Because  $D(P) \cup D(Q) \neq \emptyset$  we have  $D(T) \neq \emptyset$ . If

$$(1) \quad D(T_{\partial_0 T}) \neq \emptyset$$

then we have  $t \geq 0$  such that

$$(F \cdot Q_* - P_*)(x) = O(x^{\partial_1 P_* + \partial_1 Q_* + \partial_0 Q_* + t + 1})$$

$$\hat{c}_1 P_* \leq n \leq \hat{c}_1 P_* + t$$

$$\hat{c}_1 Q_* \leq m \leq \hat{c}_1 Q_* + t$$

where  $\hat{c}_1 P_* = \hat{c}P_* - \hat{c}_0 Q_*$  and  $\hat{c}_1 Q_* = \hat{c}Q_* - \hat{c}_0 Q_*$  [1, pp. 208].

## 2. PROJECTION-PROPERTY

Consider Banach spaces  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). The space  $\prod_{i=1}^p X_i$  normed by one of the following Minkowski norms

$$\|x\|_q = \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \|x_{i,(i)}\|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

or

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p \|x_i\|_{(i)}$$

or

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max(\|x_1\|_{(1)}, \dots, \|x_p\|_{(p)})$$

where  $\|x_i\|_{(i)}$  is the norm of  $x_i$  in  $X_i$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ , is also a Banach space. We introduce the notations

$$x_{(j)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, 0, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p)$$

$$\hat{x}_{(j)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p).$$

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $X = \prod_{i=1}^p X_i$  and  $\left(\frac{1}{Q_*} \cdot P_*\right)(x)$  be the  $(n, m)$ -ARA for  $F$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ .

Let (1) be satisfied. If

$$S(\hat{x}_{(j)}) := Q_*(x_{(j)})$$

$$R(\hat{x}_{(j)}) := P_*(x_{(j)})$$

$$D(S) \cup D(R) \neq \emptyset$$

$$G_j(\hat{x}_{(j)}) := F(x_{(j)})$$

then the irreducible form  $\left(\frac{1}{S_*} \cdot R_*\right)(\hat{x}_{(j)})$  of  $\left(\frac{1}{S} \cdot R\right)(\hat{x}_{(j)})$  is the  $(n, m)$ -ARA for  $G_j$ .

*Proof.* First we remark that if  $L: X^k \rightarrow Y$  is a bounded  $k$ -linear operator, then the operator  $M: \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p X_i\right)^k \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $M\hat{x}_{(j)}^k = Lx_{(j)}^k$  is also bounded and  $k$ -linear.

Since  $\left(\frac{1}{Q_*} \cdot P_*\right)(x)$  is the  $(n, m)$ -ARA for  $F$  and since (1) is satisfied, we have  $t \geq 0$  such that

$$(F \cdot Q_* - P_*)(x) = O(x^{\partial_1 P_* + \partial_1 Q_* + \partial_0 Q_* + t + 1})$$

$$\partial_1 P_* \leq n \leq \partial_1 P_* + t$$

$$\partial_1 Q_* \leq m \leq \partial_1 Q_* + t.$$

Using one of the Minkowski norms  $\|\cdot\|_q$  ( $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ),  $\|x_{(j)}\|_q = \|(x_1, \dots, \dots, x_{j-1}, 0, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p)\|_q$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^p X_i$  equals  $\|\hat{x}_{(j)}\|_q = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_p)\|_q$  in  $\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p X_i$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (F \cdot Q_* - P_*)(x_{(j)}) &= (G_j \cdot S - R)(\hat{x}_{(j)}) = \\ &= O(\hat{x}_{(j)}^{\partial_1 P_* + \partial_1 Q_* + \partial_0 Q_* + t + 1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\partial P_* = \partial_0 Q_* + \partial_1 P_* \leq \partial P - \hat{c}_0 T \leq nm + n$  [1, pp. 199], and  $\partial Q_* = \partial_0 Q_* + \partial_1 Q_* \leq \partial Q - \hat{c}_0 T \leq nm + m$  [1, pp. 199]. So  $s = nm - \hat{c}_0 Q_* + \min(n - \partial_1 P_*, m - \partial_1 Q_*) \geq 0$ .

Take a bounded  $s$ -linear operator  $D_s: \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^p X_i\right)^s \rightarrow Y$  with  $D(D_s) \cap [D(S) \cup \cup D(R)] \neq \emptyset$ .

Then

$$\partial_0(S \cdot D_s) \geq nm$$

$$\partial_0(R \cdot D_s) \geq nm$$

$$\partial(S \cdot D_s) \leq \hat{c}_0 Q_* + \hat{c}_1 Q_* + nm - \partial_0 Q_* + \min(n - \hat{c}_1 P_*, m - \hat{c}_1 Q_*) \leq nm + m$$

$$\partial(R \cdot D_s) \leq \partial_0 Q_* + \hat{c}_1 P_* + nm - \partial_0 Q_* + \min(n - \hat{c}_1 P_*, m - \hat{c}_1 Q_*) \leq nm + n$$

$$[(G_j \cdot S - R) \cdot D_s](\hat{x}_{(j)}) = O(\hat{x}_{(j)}^{\partial_1 P_* + \partial_1 Q_* + nm + t + \min(n - \partial_1 P_*, m - \partial_1 Q_*) + 1})$$

$$= O(\hat{x}_{(j)}^{nm + n + m + 1})$$

since  $m \leq \hat{c}_1 Q_* + t$  and  $n \leq \hat{c}_1 P_* + t$ . The irreducible form of  $\frac{1}{S \cdot D_s} \cdot R \cdot D_s$  is the irreducible form of  $\frac{1}{S} \cdot R$ .

We give a simple example to illustrate the theorem. Take

$$G: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: (x, y) \rightarrow \frac{x \exp(x) - y \exp(y)}{x - y}$$

The (1,1)-ARA for  $G$  is

$$\frac{x + y + 0.5(x^2 + 3xy + y^2)}{x + y - 0.5(x^2 + xy + y^2)}.$$

For  $j = 1: x = 0$

$$G_1: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: y \rightarrow \exp(y)$$

and for  $j = 2: y = 0$

$$G_2: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: x \rightarrow \exp(x).$$

Indeed the (1,1)-ARA for  $G_1$  equals  $\frac{1 + 0.5y}{1 - 0.5y}$  and for  $G_2$  equals  $\frac{1 + 0.5x}{1 - 0.5x}$ .

*The author is Aspirant Belgisch National Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek.*

#### REFERENCES

1. CUYT, A. A. M., On the properties of abstract rational (1-point) approximants, *J. Operator Theory*, 6(1981), 195–216.
2. RALL, L. B., *Computational solution of nonlinear operator equations*, Krieger Huntington, New York, 1979.

ANNIE A. M. CUYT  
 University of Antwerp U.I.A.,  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Universiteitsplein 1,  
 B – 2610 Wilrijk,  
 Belgium.

Received April 13, 1982.